

Manage a Feedlot

Handout 20 Editing Checklist

Editing Checklist

Keeping track of all the elements that contribute to the quality of a text, much less checking these elements, can be an overwhelming task. An excellent way to keep track of the elements that need to be checked is to develop a quality control checklist such as the one in the following table.

The checklist serves as an example of a tool for reviewers to use when checking a publication.

| Quality Control Checklist | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|
| Is the layout pleasing to the eye? i.e. no awkward page breaks, no excessive blank space and pages aren't crowded. | | |
| Is the overall format consistent? | | |
| Are the pages numbered sequentially? | | |
| Are the headers and footers free of typographical errors and consistent? | | |
| Are the boldface, italics, colour and other text appearance variables used consistently? | | |
| Are the fonts and font sizes consistent? | | |
| Is text alignment consistent? | | |
| Is the cross referencing accurate? e.g. references to other sections, tables, graphics, pages or publications | | |
| Are sentences and phrases punctuated correctly (including items in lists, tables, and graphics) | | |
| Has spelling been checked manually or with a computerised spell checker? | | |
| Are words capitalised appropriately (e.g. proper nouns and labels in tables and graphics) | | |
| Is grammar and usage correct? | | |
| Are words hyphenated correctly according to usage? (i.e. compound words, compound modifiers or prefixes) | | |

Copyright Peritum Agri Institute®

| Are abbreviations, acronyms and signs and symbols used accurately and | |
|---|--|
| consistently? | |
| Are terms used consistently? (e.g. facility may not mean the same thing as site in certain contexts) | |
| Is the title and heading case (i.e. uppercase, lowercase or mixed upper/lower) correct? | |
| Are numbers used consistently? (i.e. spelled out vs. figures) | |
| Are sequences numbered or lettered in order? (e.g. lists, equations, footnotes, tables and graphics) | |
| Is the Maths correct? (e.g. totals in a table) | |
| Are trademarks capitalised or otherwise appropriately designated? | |
| Is the organisation logical and parallel on the sentence, paragraph and section levels? | |
| Do the headings accurately reflect the text and are they tailored to readers' needs? | |
| Is the language clear, concise, and readable? (e.g. transitions are used, paragraphs discuss a single topic, reading level is appropriate for the audience) | |
| Is the vocabulary appropriate to the content? | |
| Are redundancies eliminated? (e.g. ideas and facts are not repeated as in data presented in both text and a table) | |
| Are examples used to explain complex material? | |
| Do graphics clearly illustrate text and are unnecessary elements deleted? | |
| Are tables and graphics labelled appropriately and parallel with text? | |
| Are ideas consistent with no contradictions? | |

Copyright Peritum Agri Institute®

| Is potentially derogatory or unsubstantiated language removed? | |
|--|--|
| Has the planning and drafting improved its suitability for the intended purpose and audience? | |
| Has the editing and redrafting of a text improved its suitability for the intended purpose and audience? | |
| Have cohesive devices linked parts of texts with other parts? | |
| Are ideas linked and adapted to promote overall coherence of the text? | |
| Have changes to grammatical errors improved the structure and readability of text? | |
| Have the spelling, punctuation, register/tone, sentence and paragraph structures been checked and corrected? | |
| Have points of view been supported with a simple range of reasons and facts? | |
| Have references used in writing been acknowledged and accurately recorded in the appropriate format? | |