

Skills Development Facilitator

Handout 19 Kirkpatrick's Four Levels of Evaluating Training

KIRKPATRICK'S 4 LEVELS OF EVALUATING TRAINING **Evaluation Evaluation description and characteristics** Examples of evaluation tools and methods Relevance and practicability type Reaction evaluation is how the delegates felt, and their personal reactions to the training or learning experience, for example: Can be done immediately the training ends. Did the trainees like and enjoy the training? Typically, 'happy sheets'. Very easy to obtain reaction feedback Did they consider the training relevant? Feedback forms based on subjective personal reaction to the training experience. Feedback is not expensive to gather or to Was it a good use of their time? analyse for groups. Verbal reaction which can be noted and analysed. Did they like the venue, the style, timing, domestics, Important to know that people were not upset Reaction etc? Post-training surveys or questionnaires. or disappointed. Level of participation. Online evaluation or grading by delegates. Important that people give a positive impression Ease and comfort of experience. Subsequent verbal or written reports given by delegates to when relating their experience to others who managers back at their jobs. Level of effort required to make the most of the might be deciding whether to experience same. learning. Perceived practicability and potential for applying the learning.

Copyright Peritum Agri Institute®

		Typically, assessments or tests before and after the training.	
2. Learning	Learning evaluation is the measurement of the	Interview or observation can be used before and after	Relatively simple to set up, but more investment
		although this is time-consuming and can be inconsistent.	and thought required than reaction evaluation.
	from before to after the learning experience:	Methods of assessment need to be closely related to the	Highly relevant and clear-cut for certain training such as quantifiable or technical skills.
	Did the trainees learn what intended to be taught?	aims of the learning.	such as quantiliable of technical skins.
	Did the trainee experience what was intended for	Measurement and analysis are possible and easy on a group	Less easy for more complex learning such as
	them to experience?	scale.	attitudinal development, which is famously difficult to assess.
	What is the extent of advancement or change in the trainees after the training, in the direction or area that was intended?	Reliable, clear scoring and measurements need to be established, to limit the risk of inconsistent assessment.	Cost escalates if systems are poorly designed, which increases work required to measure and analyse.
3. Behaviour	Behaviour evaluation is the extent to which	Observation and interview over time are required to assess	Measurement of behaviour change is less easy to
	the trainees applied the learning and changed	change, relevance of change, and sustainability of change.	quantify and interpret than reaction and learning
	their behaviour, and this can be immediately	Arbitrary snapshot assessments are not reliable because	evaluation.
	and several months after the training,	people change in different ways at different times.	Simple quick response systems unlikely to be
	depending on the situation:	Assessments need to be subtle and ongoing, and then	adequate.
	Did the trainees put their learning into effect when	transferred to a suitable analysis tool.	Cooperation and skill of observers, typically
	back on the job? Were the relevant skills and knowledge used	Assessments need to be designed to reduce subjective judgement of the observer or interviewer, which is a	line-managers, are important factors, and difficult to control.

Copyright Peritum Agri Institute®

activity and performance of the trainees when back in measurements.

their roles?

Was the change in behaviour and new level of knowledge sustained?

Would the trainee be able to transfer their learning to another person?

Is the trainee aware of their change in behaviour, knowledge, skill level?

Was there noticeable and measurable change in the variable factor that can affect reliability and consistency of

The opinion of the trainee, which is a relevant indicator, is also subjective and unreliable, and so needs to be measured in a consistent defined way.

360-degree feedback is useful method and need not be used before training, because respondents can make a judgement as to change after training, and this can be analysed for groups of respondents and trainees.

Assessments can be designed around relevant performance scenarios, and specific key performance indicators or criteria.

Online and electronic assessments are more difficult to incorporate - assessments tend to be more successful when them, which links to the level 4 evaluation integrated within existing management and coaching protocols.

Self-assessment can be useful, using carefully designed criteria and measurements.

Management and analysis of ongoing subtle assessments are difficult, and virtually impossible without a well-designed system from the beginning.

Evaluation of implementation and application is an extremely important assessment - there is little point in a good reaction and good increase in capability if nothing changes back in the job, therefore evaluation in this area is vital, albeit challenging.

Behaviour change evaluation is possible given good support and involvement from line managers or trainees, so it is helpful to involve them from the start, and to identify benefits for below.

Results evaluation is the effect on the business or environment resulting from the improved performance of the trainee - it is the acid test.

Measures would typically be business or organisational key performance indicators, such as:

4. Results

Volumes, values, percentages, timescales, return on investment, and other quantifiable aspects of organisational performance, for instance; numbers of complaints, staff turnover, attrition, failures, wastage, non-compliance, quality ratings, achievement of standards and accreditations, growth, retention, etc.

It is possible that many of these measures are already in place via normal management systems and reporting.

The challenge is to identify which and how relate to the trainee's input and influence.

Therefore, it is important to identify and agree accountability and relevance with the trainee at the start of the training, so they understand what is to be measured.

This process overlays normal good management practice - it simply needs linking to the training input.

Failure to link to training input type and timing will greatly reduce the ease by which results can be attributed to the training.

For senior people particularly, annual appraisals and ongoing agreement of key business objectives are integral to measuring business results derived from training.

Individually, results evaluation is not particularly difficult; across an entire organisation it becomes very much more challenging, not least because of the reliance online-management, and the frequency and scale of changing structures, responsibilities and roles, which complicates the process of attributing clear accountability.

Also, external factors greatly affect organisational and business performance, which cloud the true cause of good or poor results.